

Course Level Report for 100

Project Title: SELFI Evals, Spring 2022 (2230)

Course Audience: **11740** Responses Received: **4589** Response Ratio: **39%**





SELFI Executive Summary

Academic Level of Students

Please Select your Academic Level		
Options	Count	Percentage
Freshman	2578	56%
Sophomore	935	20%
Junior	557	12%
Senior	406	9%
Graduate	55	1%
Professional	53	1%

Summary

Scale: 1=Strongly Disagree, 2=Disagree, 3=Neutral, 4=Agree, 5=Strongly Agree

Factors	Course Level	Standard Deviation
Learning	4.14	+/-1.01
Engagement:	4.33	+/-0.92
Organization and Clarity	4.24	+/-1.01
Learning Environment	4.29	+/-0.88
Individual Rapport	4.42	+/-0.85
Graded Materials(e.g., exams, tests, assignments):	4.30	+/-0.94
Overall	4.12	+/-1.09
Total Score	4.26	

Strengths and Improvement Opportunities


Str	engths		
1	Instructor treated students with respect.	Individual Rapport	4.51
2	Instructor helped with my individual learning needs when asked.	Individual Rapport	4.38
3	Instructor was adequately accessible to students outside of class.	Individual Rapport	4.37
Imp	provement Opportunities		
1	My interest in the subject has increased as a consequence of this course.	Learning	3.94
2	I would recommend this course to other students.	Overall	4.05

Note: Only the three top/bottom rankings display. If ties exist, the system randomly chooses the question to display.

Score Distribution Charts by Factor

For all of the bar charts: Disagree includes Strongly Disagree & Disagree, Agree includes Agree & Strongly Agree


Learning




Engagement

jiii Disa	agree	Neutral	Ag	ree			
Instructor promoted active student partic Instructor was engaged while teaching the structor encouraged students to ask quarts to ask	1 /////						
	0%	20%	400%	60°%	80°%	100%	20


Organization and Clarity




Learning Environment



Individual Rapport



Graded Materials



Overall

///// Disagree	e IIIIII Neutral	Agree			
Overall, the course has been a worthwhil Overall, the instructor was effective in pro I would recommend this course to other s					
0'	1° 20°1°	A010	⁶⁰ % 80%	10 100%	220%

Comparative Scores by Factor

Learning

		e Level	(100)	UND Average		
Question	Response Count	Mean	Standard Deviation	Response Count	Mean	Standard Deviation
I have gained knowledge/skills that reflect the learning outcomes of this course.	4616	4.31	0.88	21820	4.42	0.81
My interest in the subject has increased as a consequence of this course.	4588	3.94	1.16	21714	4.16	1.05
I have found the course intellectually challenging.	4567	4.17	0.96	21603	4.32	0.88
Overall	-	4.14	-	-	4.30	-

Engagement

	Cours	e Level	(100)	UND Average		
Question	Response Count	Mean	Standard Deviation	Response Count	Mean	Standard Deviation
Instructor promoted active student participation.	4565	4.33	0.89	21592	4.42	0.84
Instructor was engaged while teaching the course	4546	4.34	0.94	21469	4.43	0.87
Instructor encouraged students to ask questions/share ideas.	4510	4.31	0.93	21356	4.43	0.85
Overall	-	4.33	-	-	4.43	-

Organization and Clarity

	Cours	e Level	(100)	UND Average		
Question	Response Count	Mean	Standard Deviation	Response Count	Mean	Standard Deviation
Instructor's explanations of course content were clear.	4622	4.18	1.07	21834	4.28	0.98
Course was well organized.	4599	4.24	1.00	21735	4.31	0.97
Course materials were well prepared.	4587	4.29	0.95	21694	4.36	0.91
Overall	-	4.24	-	-	4.32	-

Course Level 100 - Term 2230

Learning Environment

		Course Level (100)			UND Average		
Question	Response Count	Mean	Standard Deviation	Response Count	Mean	Standard Deviation	
Instructor fostered a class environment that was conducive to my learning.	4464	4.20	0.96	21240	4.30	0.93	
When provided, educational technology contributed to my learning.	4447	4.32	0.85	20998	4.40	0.81	
Instructor used the resources of the classroom appropriately.	4382	4.35	0.81	20661	4.43	0.78	
Overall	-	4.29	-	-	4.38	-	

Individual Rapport

		Course Level (100)			UND Average		
Question	Response Count	Mean	Standard Deviation	Response Count	Mean	Standard Deviation	
Instructor treated students with respect.	4578	4.51	0.77	21698	4.58	0.73	
Instructor was adequately accessible to students outside of class.	4420	4.37	0.89	21112	4.47	0.82	
Instructor helped with my individual learning needs when asked.	4301	4.38	0.89	20448	4.48	0.82	
Overall	-	4.42	-	-	4.51	-	

Graded Materials

		e Level	(100)	UND Average		
Question	Response Count	Mean	Standard Deviation	Response Count	Mean	Standard Deviation
Methods of evaluating student work were fair.	4590	4.32	0.93	21689	4.40	0.88
Graded materials matched course content emphasized by the instructor.	4560	4.35	0.89	21577	4.41	0.85
Instructor provided useful/meaningful feedback to assist learning.	4530	4.23	1.01	21511	4.32	0.95
Overall	-	4.30	-	-	4.38	-

Overall

	Course Level (100)			UND Average		
Question	Response Count	Mean	Standard Deviation	Response Count	Mean	Standard Deviation
Overall, the course has been a worthwhile addition to my university experience.	4600	4.09	1.09	21752	4.27	0.99
Overall, the instructor was effective in promoting my learning in this course.	4576	4.22	1.02	21667	4.33	0.95
I would recommend this course to other students.	4574	4.05	1.15	21587	4.20	1.06
Overall	-	4.12	-	-	4.27	-

Course Level 100 - Term 2230

Instructor Analysis


	%SD(1)	%D(2)	%N(3)	%A(4)	%SA(5)	Resp	Mean	SD
Learning q1: I have gained knowledge/skills that reflect the learning outcomes of this course.	1%	4%	8%	36%	51%	4616	4.31	0.88
Learning q2: My interest in the subject has increased as a consequence of this course.	4%	9%	16%	29%	42%	4588	3.94	1.16
Learning q3: I have found the course intellectually challenging.	2%	5%	13%	35%	45%	4567	4.17	0.96
Engagement q4: Instructor promoted active student participation.	2%	3%	9%	32%	54%	4565	4.33	0.89
Engagement q5: Instructor was engaged while teaching the course	2%	4%	8%	30%	56%	4546	4.34	0.94
Engagement q6: Instructor encouraged students to ask questions/share ideas.	2%	4%	10%	30%	54%	4510	4.31	0.93
Org & Clarity q7: Instructor's explanations of course content were clear.	4%	5%	9%	31%	50%	4622	4.18	1.07
Org & Clarity q8: Course was well organized.	3%	5%	9%	31%	52%	4599	4.24	1.00
Org & Clarity q9: Course materials were well prepared.	3%	3%	9%	32%	53%	4587	4.29	0.95
Learning Environment q10: Instructor fostered a class environment that was conducive to my learning.	2%	4%	11%	35%	47%	4464	4.20	0.96
Learning Environment q11: When provided, educational technology contributed to my learning.	2%	2%	9%	36%	51%	4447	4.32	0.85
Learning Environment q12: Instructor used the resources of the classroom appropriately.	1%	1%	9%	37%	51%	4382	4.35	0.81
Individual Rapport q13: Instructor treated students with respect.	1%	2%	6%	28%	63%	4578	4.51	0.77
Individual Rapport q14: Instructor was adequately accessible to students outside of class.	1%	3%	10%	28%	57%	4420	4.37	0.89
Individual Rapport q15: Instructor helped with my individual learning needs when asked.	2%	3%	10%	28%	58%	4301	4.38	0.89
Graded Material q16: Methods of evaluating student work were fair.	2%	3%	8%	33%	54%	4590	4.32	0.93
Graded Material q17: Graded materials matched course content emphasized by the instructor.	2%	2%	8%	34%	54%	4560	4.35	0.89
Graded Material q18: Instructor provided useful/meaningful feedback to assist learning.	3%	5%	11%	30%	52%	4530	4.23	1.01
Overall q19: Overall, the course has been a worthwhile addition to my university experience.	4%	6%	12%	32%	46%	4600	4.09	1.09
Overall q20: Overall, the instructor was effective in promoting my learning in this course.	3%	5%	10%	32%	50%	4576	4.22	1.02
Overall q21: I would recommend this course to other students.	6%	6%	13%	29%	46%	4574	4.05	1.15

Essential Studies Report

Goal was a focus of this course?

	%NO(1)	%YES(2)	Resp	Mean	SD
Written Communication	17%	83%	3421	1.83	0.37
Oral Communication	31%	69%	3386	1.69	0.46
Inquiry and Analysis	10%	90%	3459	1.90	0.31
Information Literacy	14%	86%	3406	1.86	0.35
Quantitative Reasoning	19%	81%	3420	1.81	0.39
Intercultural Knowledge and Skills	23%	77%	3391	1.77	0.42

Goal was a focus of this course?



Goal was a focus of this course?


	Course Level (100)			UND Average		
Question	Response Count	Mean	Standard Deviation	Response Count	Mean	Standard Deviation
Written Communication	3421	1.83	0.37	6787	1.84	0.36
Oral Communication	3386	1.69	0.46	6742	1.72	0.45
Inquiry and Analysis	3459	1.90	0.31	6824	1.90	0.29
Information Literacy	3406	1.86	0.35	6757	1.87	0.33
Quantitative Reasoning	3420	1.81	0.39	6748	1.80	0.40
Intercultural Knowledge and Skills	3391	1.77	0.42	6748	1.81	0.40
Overall	-	1.81	-	-	1.82	-

Course Level 100 - Term 2230

Level of agreement regarding the amount of progress made in goal area as a result of this class.

	%SD(1)	%D(2)	%N(3)	%A(4)	%SA(5)	Resp	Mean	SD
Written Communication	0%	1%	11%	53%	35%	2819	4.20	0.71
Oral Communication	0%	1%	14%	50%	34%	2323	4.16	0.73
Inquiry and Analysis	0%	1%	11%	51%	37%	3087	4.24	0.69
Information Literacy	0%	1%	12%	52%	35%	2903	4.21	0.69
Quantitative Reasoning	0%	1%	12%	51%	36%	2768	4.22	0.70
Intercultural Knowledge and Skills	0%	1%	11%	51%	37%	2596	4.23	0.69

Level of agreement regarding the amount of progress made in goal area as a result of this class.



Level of agreement regarding the amount of progress made in goal area as a result of this class.

	Course Level (100)			UND Average		
Question	Response Count	Mean	Standard Deviation	Response Count	Mean	Standard Deviation
Written Communication	2819	4.20	0.71	5675	4.25	0.70
Oral Communication	2323	4.16	0.73	4805	4.20	0.73
Inquiry and Analysis	3087	4.24	0.69	6142	4.27	0.69
Information Literacy	2903	4.21	0.69	5858	4.26	0.68
Quantitative Reasoning	2768	4.22	0.70	5403	4.25	0.69
Intercultural Knowledge and Skills	2596	4.23	0.69	5416	4.28	0.69
Overall	-	4.21	-	-	4.25	-